

Harrisburg Airports District Office Eastern Region 3905 Hartzdale Drive, Suite 508 Camp Hill, PA 17011-7837 717-730-2830

March 22, 2011

Mr. Calvin Davenger
City of Philadelphia
Philadelphia International Airport
Division of Aviation
Terminal E
Philadelphia, PA 19153

RE: PHL

Acquisition of Three Electric Light-Duty Service Vehicles/VALE Federal Environmental Action

Dear Calvin,

The Harrisburg Airports District Office has completed its review of your submittal of a Categorical Exclusion Form for the referenced project at Philadelphia International Airport, PA.

The proposed project involves the Acquisition of Three Electric Light-Duty Service Vehicles under the VALE program.

Based on our review of the information provided along with guidance contained in FAA Orders 5050.4B and 1050.1E, we have determined that the subject project does not have the characteristics that require a formal NEPA environmental assessment nor does it contain the potential for causing an environmental impact. We have, therefore, determined that this project qualifies for a "Categorical Exclusion" and have executed this finding accordingly (signed 03/15/11).

Please note that this correspondence represents the formal Federal Environmental Finding; additional coordination with the FAA may be necessary for this project with regard to an Airport Layout Plan Approval and Airspace Review.

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at (717) 730-2832.

Sincerely.

Edward S. Gabsewics, CEP Environmental Specialist

Harrisburg Airports District Office

Cc: Lynn Keeley, AECOM



# FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION EASTERN REGION AIRPORTS DIVISION

## CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION FORM

Airport Name:	Philadelphia International Airport	Airport Identifier: F	PHL
---------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------	-----

Project Title: Acquisition of Three Electric Light-Duty Service Vehicles Date: February 15, 2011

#### APPLICABILITY:

This Environmental Evaluation Form should be used <u>only</u> if the sponsor's proposed project meets the following two (2) criteria:

1. The proposed project is a federal action subject to NEPA. List applicable paragraph number from FAA Order 5050.4B, Chapter I para. 9g (1)

And

2. The proposed project is identified as one that can be categorically excluded. List applicable category from FAA Order 1050.1E paragraphs 307 through 312. (Review Tables 6-1 and 6-2 in FAA Order 5050.4B)

Action is listed in Table 6-2 of Order 5050.4B which refers to the following from Order 1050.1E: 307(j), 309(g), 310 (f), 310(n), 310(c)

Note:

If action is listed in Table 6-1 - Complete project description, go to page 4 and sign certification. No further review necessary If action is listed in Table 6-2 - Complete remainder of form

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION** - List and clearly describe **ALL** components of project proposal including all connected actions. Include summary of existing conditions at project site. (Attach site map identifying project area)

The proposed action entails the purchase of three electric light-duty service vehicles and two dual-port vehicle rechargers for use by Philadelphia International Airport staff. These vehicles will be used to transport employees, supplies, and equipment over short distances within the Airport boundary.

The Division of Aviation will operate and maintain this equipment and has committed to supporting the four VALE special conditions of:

- Tracking the use of the electric light-duty vehicles through the vehicle odometer
- 2) Visibly labeling the vehicles with VALE Program placards
- 3) Keeping the vehicles for the useful life of the equipment and
- 4) Replacing the vehicles in-kind if they fail before the end of their useful life

1. AIR QUALITY* (Contact air quality agencies as appropriate) (a) Is the proposed project located in a nonattainment or maintenance area for the National Ambient				
Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) established under the Clean Air Act and does it result in direct emissions?  If Yes go to (b), No go to (d)		•	No	
(b) Is the proposed project an "exempted action," under the General Conformity Rule?  If Yes cite exemption go to (d), No go to (c)	Yes		No	
or presumed to conform (PTC) under FAA rules? (See FRN vol.72 no. 145 pg 41565)		_		_
If Yes cite PTC category <u>Category 13: Low-emissions technology and alternative fuel</u> <u>vehicles</u> go to (d), No go to (c)	Yes		No	
(c) Would the proposed project result in a net total of direct and indirect emissions that exceed the				
threshold levels of the regulated air pollutants for which the project area is in non-attainment or maintenance? (attach emissions inventory) If Yes consult ADO, No go to (d)	Yes		No	
(d) Is the airport's activity levels below FAA thresholds for requiring a NAAQS analysis?	Yes		No	
If Yes go to Item 2, No go to (e)  (e) Do pollutant concentrations exceed NAAQS thresholds? (attach emissions inventory)	Yes		No	
(f) Is an air quality analysis needed with regard to state indirect source review?	Yes	U	No	-
2. COASTAL*				
(a) Would the proposed project occur in a coastal zone, or affect the use of a coastal resource, as	Yes	П	No	
defined by your state's Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMP)?	Yes		No	
(b) If "yes," is the project consistent with the State's CZMP?  (If applicable, attach the sponsor's consistency certification and the state's concurrence of that certification)	Yes		No	
(c) Is the location of the proposed project within the Coastal Barrier Resources System				
(If yes, and the project would receive federal funding, coordinate with the FWS and attach FWS exemption).				
3. COMMUNITY DISRUPTION (Compatible Land Use)	Yes		No	
(a) Is the proposed project inconsistent with plans, goals, policies, or controls that have been adopted	**			_
for the area in which the airport is located?	Yes Yes		No No	
<ul><li>(b) Would the proposed project lead to disruption or dividing of communities?</li><li>(c) Would the proposed project cause relocation of any people, homes or businesses?</li></ul>	ies	u	140	-
4. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS (consider past, present and reasonably foreseeable development on and off airport) (a) Is the proposed project likely to cumulatively cause significant impacts?	Yes		No	
(b) Is the proposed project likely to cause a significant lighting impact on residential areas or	Yes		No	
commercial use of business properties? (c )Is it likely to cause a significant impact on the visual nature of surrounding land?	Yes		No	
5. ENDANGERED SPECIES* (Fish, Wildlife and Plants)				
(a)Would the proposed project impact any federally or state-listed or proposed endangered or				
threatened species (ESA) of flora and fauna, or impact critical habitat?	Yes		No	
(Attach record of consultation with federal and state agencies as appropriate) (b) Would the proposed project affect species protected under the Migratory Bird Act	Yes		No	
(c) Would the proposed project affect other biotic communities or habitat not ESA protected	Yes		No	
6. FARMLANDS CONVERSION*				
Does the project involve acquisition of farmland, or use of farmland, that would be converted to non-	Yes	O	No	
agricultural use and is protected by the Federal Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)? (If yes, attach record of coordination with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), including form AD-1006.)				
7. FLOODPLAINS*	Yes	Г	No	Film
Would the proposed project cause an encroachment or impacts to the natural, ecological or scenic resources to the 100-year base floodplain? (If yes, opportunity for public review is required)	1 68	U	140	κ.
8. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS*			Th. T	/ James
Would the proposed project involve existing hazardous materials or cause potential	Yes		No	100

9. HIGHLY CONTROVERSIAL ACTION Is the proposed project likely to be highly controversial on environmental grounds?	V.	C		
is the proposed project likely to be highly controversial on environmental grounds?	Yes	1.1	No	-
10.HISTORIC, ARCHITECTURAL, ARCHEOLOGICAL OR CULTURAL PROPERTY* Would the proposed project impact any historic or cultural property or resources protected by the National Historic Preservation Act? (Consult with FAA, and contact State and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. Attach record of consultation)	Yes	П	No	
11. INCONSISTENCY WITH APPLICABLE LAWS	103	u.	140	
Is the proposed project likely to be inconsistent with any federal, state, local, or tribal law relating to the environmental aspects of project?	Yes		No	
12. NOISE *				
(a) Does the proposal have the potential to increase noise (e.g., would the proposed project increase aircraft operations or surface traffic)?	Yes		No	
(b) If "yes," will the proposed project have an impact on noise levels over noise sensitive areas within the DNL 65 dBA noise contour (Attach explanation)	Yes	0	No	
13. SECTION 4(F)*				
Does the proposed project have an impact on any publicly owned land from a public park, recreation area, or wildlife or waterfowl refuge of national, state, or local significance, or an historic site of national, state, or local significance? (If yes, contact FAA, contact appropriate agency and attach record of				
consultation)	Yes		No	
14. TRAFFIC CONGESTION				
Would the proposed project cause an alteration in surface traffic patterns, or cause a noticeable increase in surface traffic congestion or decrease Level of Service?	Yes	0	No	
15. US WATERS/WETLANDS*				
(a) Does the proposed project involve federal or state regulated (Contact USFW or state agency if protected	**		N	
resources are affected) or non-jurisdictional wetlands?  (b) If yes, does the project qualify for an Army Corps of Engineers General permit (If yes, attach record of consultation. If no, project is not eligible for CATEX)	Yes		No	
of consultation . If no, project is not engine for CATEA)	Yes	U	No	
16. WATER QUALITY*  (a) Does the proposed project have the potential to impact water quality, including ground water, surface water bodies, and public water supply system or federal, state or tribal water quality				
standards? (If yes, contact appropriate agency)  (b) Is the project to be located over a designated Sole Source Aquifer (If yes, attach record of consultation	Yes		No	
with EPA)	Yes		No	
17. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS*				
Would the proposed project affect a river segment that is listed in the Wild and Scenic River System or National Rivers Inventory? (If yes, coordinate with the jurisdictional agency and attach record of consultation)	Yes		No	
18. ENERGY, NATURAL RESOURCES AND SOLID WASTE				
<ul><li>(a) Would the project have a significant impact on energy or other natural resource consumption?</li><li>(b) Would the operation and/or construction of the project generate significant amounts of solid</li></ul>	Yes		No	3
waste?	Yes		No	
19. Other Categories (a) Would the proposed project be located near or create a wildlife hazard as defined in FAA				
Advisory Circular 150/5200-33, "Wildlife Hazards on and Near Airports"?	Yes		No	
(b) Reviewing the above categories, would the project affect:  Environmental Justice *			72.44	_
Children's Health and Safety *	Yes		No	

## Project Title/Airport Identifier Acquisition of Three Electric Light-Duty Service Vehicles/PHL PREPARER CERTIFICATION I certify that the information I have provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, correct. 02/15/2011 Date Lynn A. Keeley 215-399-4338 **Print Name** AECOM /PHL 1700 Market Street, Suite 1600, Philadelphia, PA 19103 Company/Airport Address Email address to receive notice of FAA decision: Lynn.Keeley@aecom.com AIRPORT SPONSOR CERTIFICATION I certify that the information I have provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, correct. I also recognize and agree that no construction activity, including but not limited to site preparation, demolition, or land disturbance, shall proceed for the above proposed project(s) until FAA issues a final environmental decision for the proposed project(s), and until compliance with all other applicable FAA approval actions (e.g., ALP approval, airspace approval grant approval has occurred. 02/16/2011 Date Calvin M. Davenger, Jr. P.E. 215-937-6062 Print Name Phone Email address to receive notice of FAA decision: Calvin.Davenger@phl.org If no email available, provide mailing address: For FAA Use Only **FAA DECISION:** Having reviewed the above information, certified by the responsible airport official, it is the FAA's decision that the proposed development project has been found to qualify for a <u>Categorical Exclusion</u> from preparation of a formal environmental assessment. 3-15-2011

(Signature of Responsible FAA Official)

# ATTACHMENT DESCRIBE IMPACT AND RESULTS OF CONSULTATION IF REQUIRED

An explanation for each "Yes" response in the form is provided below.

## 1. Air Quality

Philadelphia International Airport (PHL) is included in the US EPA designated Philadelphia Region, which is classified as non-attainment for ozone and PM 2.5 under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) criteria for air pollutants. However, the proposed action is listed in the Federal Aviation Administration's "Federal Presumed to Conform Actions under General Conformity." In addition, the attached Application for VALE AIP Discretionary Grant contains the analysis which documents the reduction in fuel consumption and associated emissions reductions that will be realized through the inclusion of these vehicles to the airport's vehicle fleet.

### 2. Coastal Zone

PHL is located in the Coastal Zone of the Delaware River Estuary. However, the proposed action is administrative and will not result in an increase in impervious surface or introduce additional loads into the existing drainage system.

The proposed action is consistent with the Pennsylvania Coastal Zone Management Program (CZMP), as evidenced in the Program's Policy 9.3 which states "Transportation facilities and improvements which reduce traffic volumes or decrease emission, will be supported and endorsed by the CZMP."

5

Effective Date: Oct 2007

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Coastal Zone Management Program Guidance Document #394-03001-001, March 1999