

3905 Hartzdale Drive Suite 508 Camp Hill, PA 17011 (717) 730-2830

February 5, 2009

Mr. Calvin Davenger, Jr.
Philadelphia International Airport
Division of Aviation
Terminal E
Philadelphia, PA 19153

RE: Philadelphia International Airport (PHL)

Installation of Rechargers for Electric Ground Support Equipment (GSE)

Federal Environmental Action

Dear Mr. Davenger:

The Harrisburg Airports District Office has completed its review of your submittal of a Categorical Exclusion Form for the proposed project at Philadelphia International Airport, PA.

The proposed project involves Installation of Rechargers for Electric Ground Support Equipment (GSE).

Based on our review of the information provided along with guidance contained in FAA Orders 5050.4B and 1050.1E, we have determined that the subject project does not have the characteristics that require a formal NEPA environmental assessment nor does it contain the potential for causing an environmental impact. We have, therefore, determined that this project qualifies for a "Categorical Exclusion" and have executed this finding accordingly (signed 02/03/09).

Please note that this correspondence represents the formal Federal Environmental Finding; additional coordination with the FAA may be necessary for this project with regard to an Airport Layout Plan Approval and Airspace Review.

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at (717) 730-2802.

Sincerely

Charles Campbell

Environmental Specialist

Harrisburg Airports District Office

cc. Lynn Keeley, AECOM



FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION **EASTERN REGION AIRPORTS DIVISION**

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION FORM

Airport Name. Philadelphia International Airport Airport Identifier PHL	Airport Name:	Philadelphia International Airport	Airport Identifier _	PHL
---	---------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	-----

Project Title: Installation of Rechargers for Electric Ground Support Equipment (GSE) Date: 01/07/09

APPLICABILITY:

This Environmental Evaluation Form should be used only if the sponsor's proposed project meets the following two (2)

1. The proposed project is a federal action subject to NEPA. List applicable paragraph number from FAA Order 5050.4B, Chapter 1 para. 9g (1)

And

2. The proposed project is identified as one that can be categorically excluded. List applicable category from FAA Order 1050.1E paragraphs 307 through 312. (Review Tables 6-1 and 6-2 in FAA Order 5050.4B). From Table 6-2: 309g,310f, 310n,310u

Note:

If action is listed in Table 6-1- Complete project description, go to page 4 and sign certification. No further review necessary If action is listed in Table 6-2 - Complete remainder of form

PROJECT DESCRIPTION - List and clearly describe ALL components of project proposal including all connected actions. Include summary of existing conditions at project site. (Attach site map identifying project

This project entails the purchase and installation of electric ground support equipment (GSE) rechargers at five locations throughout PHL (see attached figure and VALE Application Appendix E). Twenty-five recharging units are proposed to support 152 electric baggage tugs and 32 belt loaders. The electric GSE will service aircraft in the vicinity of passenger jet bridges used by US Airways and US Airways Express. The following list identifies the number and location of recharging units:

- 13 recharging units at Terminal A-West
- 2 recharging units at Gate A-18
- 2 recharging units at Gate A-25
- 3 recharging units at Ticketing Building for Terminals B/C
- 1 recharging unit at Gate C-23
- 4 recharging units at Terminal F

The recharging units will facilitate the removal of 184 pieces of diesel-powered GSE (152 baggage tractors and 32 belt loaders), thereby reducing fuel consumption and associated emissions.

Actions connected to this project include electrical infrastructure upgrades and the installation of canopies over the eGSE motor pools located at Terminals A and F. These actions however, will be covered under a separate VALE application and categorical exclusion analysis anticipated to be submitted in the second half of Fiscal Year 2009.



f: AIR QUALITY* (Contact air quality agencies as appropriate)		
(a) is the proposed project located in a nonattainment or maintanance area fourth. Notice that the		
this during standards (NAAQS) established under the Clean Air Act and does it result in direct		
of Ves go to (h) No go to (d)	Yes	No
(b) Is the proposed project an "exempted action," under the General Conformity Rule? If Yes cite exemption go to (d) No go to (e)		
or presumed to conform (PTC) under FAA rules? (See FRN vol.72 no. 145 pg 41565)	Yes	No 🔳
If Yes cite PTC category: Category 13: Low-emissions technology and alternative fuel	77	
	Yes I	No
(c) Would the proposed project result in a net total of direct and indirect emissions that exceed the		
resistance levels of the regulated air pollutants for which the project area is in non-attainment or		
(diagon consists A DO March (1)	Yes	No ■
(d) Is the airport's activity levels below FAA thresholds for requiring a NAAQS analysis?		
(e) Do pollutant concentrations exceed NAAQS thresholds? (attach emissions inventory)	Yes	No 🔳
(f) Is an air quality analysis needed with regard to state indirect source review?	Yes	No 🔳
	Yes	No 🔳
2. COASTAL*		
(a) Would the proposed project occur in a coastal zone, or affect the use of a coastal resource, as		
defined by your state's Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMP)? (b) If "yes," is the project consistent with the State's CZMP?	Yes	No
(If applicable, attach the sponsor's consistency certification and the state's concurrence of that certification)	Yes	0
(a) he to the following bloods bloods Milling the Coastal Barrier Decourage Cristians	Yes	No ■
(If yes, and the project would receive federal funding, coordinate with the FWS and attach FWS exemption).	200	140
3. COMMUNITY DISRUPTION (Compatible Land Use)		
(a) Is the proposed project inconsistent with plans, goals, policies, or controls that have been adopted for the area in which the airport is located?	Yes	No 🔳
(b) Would the proposed project lead to disruption or dividing of communities?	Yes	A1 -
(c) Would the proposed project cause relocation of any people, homes or businesses?	Yes	No ■ No ■
	. 00	140
4. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS (consider past, present and reasonably foreseeable development on and off airport)		
(") 10 WIV Proposed Diviout IIICIV (I) CHIMHADVELV called element immediate	Yes	No 🔳
(b) Is the proposed project likely to cause a significant lighting impact on residential areas or commercial use of business properties?		
(c) Is it likely to cause a significant impact on the visual nature of surrounding land?	Yes	No
	Yes	No
5. ENDANGERED SPECIES* (Fish, Wildlife and Plants)		
(a) would the proposed project impact any federally or state-listed or proposed and any decision to		
threatened species (ESA) of flora and fauna, or impact critical habitat? (Attach record of consultation with federal and state agencies as appropriate)		
(b) would the proposed project affect species projected under the Migratory Died A - 1	Yes	No 🔳
(c) Would the proposed project affect other biotic communities or habitat not ESA protected	Yes	No 🔳
	Yes	No 🔳
6. FARMLANDS CONVERSION*		
Does the project involve acquisition of farmland, or use of farmland, that would be converted to non-		
agricultural use and is protected by the Federal Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)? (If yes, attach record of coordination with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), including form AD-1006.)	Yes	No 🖿
·	2.05	140
7. FLOODPLAINS*		
Would the proposed project cause an encroachment or impacts to the natural, ecological or scenic		
resources to the 100-year base floodplain? (If yes, opportunity for public review is required)	Yes	No
8. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS*		
Would the proposed project involve existing hazardous materials or cause potential	Yes	No 📕
3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

9. HIGHLY CONTROVERSIAL ACTION *Is the proposed project likely to be highly controversial on environmental grounds?	Yes	No I
10.HISTORIC, ARCHITECTURAL, ARCHEOLOGICAL OR CULTURAL PROPERTY* Would the proposed project impact any historic or cultural property or resources protected by the National Historic Preservation Act? (Consult with FAA, and contact State and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. Attach record of consultation)	Yes	No I
11. INCONSISTENCY WITH APPLICABLE LAWS Is the proposed project likely to be inconsistent with any federal, state, local, or tribal law relating to the environmental aspects of project?	Yes	No I
12. NOISE * (a) Does the proposal have the potential to increase noise (e.g., would the proposed project increase aircraft operations or surface traffic)?	Yes	No I
(b) If "yes," will the proposed project have an impact on noise levels over noise sensitive areas within the DNL 65 dBA noise contour (Attach explanation)	Yes	No I
13. SECTION 4(F)* Does the proposed project have an impact on any publicly owned land from a public park, recreation area, or wildlife or waterfowl refuge of national, state, or local significance, or an historic site of national, state, or local significance? (If yes, contact FAA, contact appropriate agency and attach record of consultation)	Yes	No I
14. TRAFFIC CONGESTION Would the proposed project cause an alteration in surface traffic patterns, or cause a noticeable increase in surface traffic congestion or decrease Level of Service?	Yes	No =
 15. US WATERS/WETLANDS* (a) Does the proposed project involve federal or state regulated (Contact USFW or state agency if protected resources are affected) or non-jurisdictional wetlands? (b) If yes, does the project qualify for an Army Corps of Engineers General permit (If yes, attach record of consultation. If no, project is not eligible for CATEX) 	Yes Yes	No ■
16. WATER QUALITY* (a) Does the proposed project have the potential to impact water quality, including ground water, surface water bodies, and public water supply system or federal, state or tribal water quality standards? (If yes, contact appropriate agency) (b) Is the project to be located over a designated Sole Source Aquifer (If yes, attach record of consultation with EPA)	Yes Yes	No ■
17. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS* Would the proposed project affect a river segment that is listed in the Wild and Scenic River System or National Rivers Inventory? (If yes, coordinate with the jurisdictional agency and attach record of consultation)	Yes	No 🔳
 18. ENERGY, NATURAL RESOURCES AND SOLID WASTE (a) Would the project have a significant impact on energy or other natural resource consumption? (b) Would the operation and/or construction of the project generate significant amounts of solid. 	Yes	No I
19. Other Categories	Yes	No ■
 (a) Would the proposed project be located near or create a wildlife hazard as defined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5200-33, "Wildlife Hazards on and Near Airports"? (b) Reviewing the above categories, would the project affect: 	Yes	No ■
Environmental Justice *	Yes	No ■
Children's Health and Safety *	Yes	No ■

You Project Title/Airport Identifier

PREPARER CERTIFICATION	r Electric Ground	Support Equip	ment (eGSE) / PHL
I certify that the information I have	provided above is, to	the best of my kn	owledge, correct.
Signature Signature			
Signature			<i>0/· 09· 09</i> Date
Lynn a. Keeley			215-399-4338
Print Name		$rac{arnothing}{P}$	hone
accon	1700 Mar	ker M. Sh	hone ute 1200, Phila., Pa 19105
Company/Airport	Address	P 7	1 /////
Email address to receive notice of	FAA decision: <u>lyn</u>	n.keeley@aecom	.com
AIRPORT SPONSOR CERTIFIC	CATION		
agree that no construction activity, in	ncluding but not limit d project(s) until FAA h all other applicable l	ed to site preparat issues a final env	owledge, correct. I also recognize and tion, demolition, or land disturbance, vironmental decision for the proposed ions (e.g., ALP approval, airspace
Cole Man			1/9/09
Signature		D	até *
CALVIN M. DAVINGO	R. In		15-987-6062
Print Name		Pł	none
Email address to receive notice of	FAA decision: <u>calvi</u>	in.davenger@ph	l.org
If no email available, provide m	giling address		
For FAA Use Only			
FAA DECISION: Having reviewed the above informati the proposed development project ha formal environmental assessment, Project Reviewed by:	on, certified by the re s been found to qualig	fy for a <u>Categoric</u>	
Signature of Responsible FAA Offic	ial)	2-03 . Date	-09

ATTACHMENT DESCRIBE IMPACT AND RESULTS OF CONSULTATION IF REQUIRED

An explanation for each "Yes" response in the form is provided below.

1. Air Quality

140

The proposed project site is included in the EPA designated Philadelphia region, which is classified as non-attainment for ozone and PM 2.5 under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) criteria for air pollutants.

However, the proposed project is one that the Federal Aviation Administration has included on their list of "Federal Presumed to Conform Actions under General Conformity." In addition, the attached Application for VALE AIP Discretionary Funds includes the analysis which documents the emissions reductions associated with the operation of the 152 electric baggage tractors and 32 electric belt loaders that will be replacing the existing diesel equipment.

2. Coastal Zone

PHL is located in the Coastal Zone of the Delaware River Estuary. However, the proposed action will not result in an increase in impervious surface as all recharging stations will be located in areas that are already paved.

The proposed action is consistent with the Pennsylvania Coastal Zone Management Program (CZMP), as evidenced in the Program's Policy 9.3 which states "Transportation facilities and improvements which reduce traffic volumes or decrease emission, will be supported and endorsed by the CZMP."

¹ Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Coastal Zone Management Program Guidance Document #394-03001-001, March 1999