

3905 Hartzdale Drive Suite 508 Camp Hill, PA 17011 (717) 730-2830

February 5, 2009

Mr. Calvin Davenger, Jr.
Philadelphia International Airport
Division of Aviation
Terminal E
Philadelphia, PA 19153

RE: Philadelphia International Airport (PHL)

Installation of Pre-Conditioned Air Units on 24 Electrified Jet Bridges along Terminal F Federal Environmental Action

Dear Mr. Davenger:

The Harrisburg Airports District Office has completed its review of your submittal of a Categorical Exclusion Form for the proposed project at Philadelphia International Airport, PA.

The proposed project involves Installation of Pre-Conditioned Air Units on 24 Electrified Jet Bridges along Terminal F.

Based on our review of the information provided along with guidance contained in FAA Orders 5050.4B and 1050.1E, we have determined that the subject project does not have the characteristics that require a formal NEPA environmental assessment nor does it contain the potential for causing an environmental impact. We have, therefore, determined that this project qualifies for a "Categorical Exclusion" and have executed this finding accordingly (signed 02/03/09).

Please note that this correspondence represents the formal Federal Environmental Finding; additional coordination with the FAA may be necessary for this project with regard to an Airport Layout Plan Approval and Airspace Review.

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at (717) 730-2802.

Sincerely

Charles Campbell

Environmental Specialist

Harrisburg Airports District Office

cc: Lynn Keeley, AECOM



FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION EASTERN REGION AIRPORTS DIVISION

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION FORM

See Instructions Page Prior to Completing this Form

| Airport Name: | Philadelphia International Airport | Airport Identifier _ | PHL |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Project Title: Date: | Installation of Pre-Conditioned Air Ur 01/08/09 | nits on 24 Electrifie | d Jet Bridges along Terminal F |

APPLICABILITY:

This Environmental Evaluation Form should be used <u>only</u> if the sponsor's proposed project meets the following two (2) criteria:

- The proposed project is a federal action subject to NEPA. List applicable paragraph number from FAA Order 5050.4B, Chapter 1 para. 9g (1)
- The proposed project is identified as one that can be categorically excluded. List applicable category from FAA Order 1050.1E paragraphs 307 through 312. (Review Tables 6-1 and 6-2 in FAA Order 5050.4B).

Note

If action is listed in Table 6-1 - Complete project description, go to page 4 and sign certification. No further review necessary If action is listed in Table 6-2 - Complete remainder of form

PROJECT DESCRIPTION - List and clearly describe ALL components of project proposal including all connected actions. Include summary of existing conditions at project site. (Attach site map identifying project area)


This project entails the purchase and installation of Pre-Conditioned Air (PCA) units on 24 electrified jet bridges along PHL's Terminal F, used by US Airways Express (see attached Figure).

The PCA units are being added to the 24 electrified jet bridges to supply heated and cooled air to aircraft parked at the gates. Combined with the existing 400Hz ground power connections, the use of the PCA units instead of auxiliary power units will reduce fuel consumption and associated emissions.

An action connected with this project will be an upgrade to the electrical system in Terminal F to support the PCA units. This action however, will be covered under a separate VALE application and categorical exclusion analysis anticipated to be submitted in the second half of Fiscal Year 2009.

PCA Unit Mounting and Installation

The PCA units will be ground mounted and installed by the manufacturer (refer to the VALE Application Appendix E for more information). The manufacturer will make the necessary provisions so that normal operations may occur at the gate while the PCAir unit installation work is taking place.



PHI 646 F-0001

| 1. AIR QUALITY* (Contact air quality agencies as appropriate) | | | | |
|---|-----|------------|-----|------------|
| (a) Is the proposed project located in a nonattainment or maintenance area for the National Ambient | | | | |
| Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) established under the Clean Air Act and does it result in direct | | | | |
| emissions? If Yes go to (b), No go to (d) | | Yes | N | 0 |
| (b) Is the proposed project an "exempted action," under the General Conformity Rule? If Yes cite exemption go to (d). No go to (c) | | V. | B.I | |
| or presumed to conform (PTC) under FAA rules? (See FRN vol.72 no. 145 pg 41565) | | Yes | No | o = |
| If Yes cite PTC category: Category 13: Low-emissions technology and alternative fuel | | Yes ■ | N | |
| vehicles go to (d), No go to (c) | | 103 | 14 | U |
| (c) Would the proposed project result in a net total of direct and indirect emissions that exceed the | | | | |
| threshold levels of the regulated air pollutants for which the project area is in non-attainment or | | | | |
| maintenance? (attach emissions inventory) If Yes consult ADO, No go to (d) | | Yes | No | o 🖫 |
| (d) Is the airport's activity levels below FAA thresholds for requiring a NAAQS analysis? | | | | |
| If Yes go to Item 2, No go to (e) | | Yes | No | o = |
| (e) Do pollutant concentrations exceed NAAQS thresholds? (attach emissions inventory)(f) Is an air quality analysis needed with regard to state indirect source review? | | Yes | No | 3 |
| (1) to an an quanty unaryors needed with regard to state matter, source review? | | Yes | No | o 蹦 |
| 2. COASTAL* | | | | |
| (a) Would the proposed project occur in a coastal zone, or affect the use of a coastal resource, as | | | | |
| defined by your state's Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMP)? | | Yes 🔳 | No | 0 |
| (b) If "yes," is the project consistent with the State's CZMP? | | Yes ■ | No | |
| (If applicable, attach the sponsor's consistency certification and the state's concurrence of that certification) | | Yes | | 0 D ■ |
| (c) Is the location of the proposed project within the Coastal Barrier Resources System (If yes, and the project would receive federal funding, coordinate with the FWS and attach FWS exemption). | | 103 | 110 | ′ = |
| (1.) os, and the project would receive reactal funding, coordinate with the r w s and attach r w s exemption). | | | | |
| 3. COMMUNITY DISRUPTION (Compatible Land Use) | | | | |
| (a) Is the proposed project inconsistent with plans, goals, policies, or controls that have been adopted | | Yes | No | • |
| for the area in which the airport is located? | | | | |
| (b) Would the proposed project lead to disruption or dividing of communities? | | Yes | |) |
| (c) Would the proposed project cause relocation of any people, homes or businesses? | | Yes | No |) = |
| 4. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS (consider past, present and reasonably foreseeable development on and off airport) | | | | |
| (a) Is the proposed project likely to cumulatively cause significant impacts? | | Yes | No | |
| (b) Is the proposed project likely to cause a significant lighting impact on residential areas or | | 2 00 | 110 | _ |
| commercial use of business properties? | | Yes | No | |
| (c) Is it likely to cause a significant impact on the visual nature of surrounding land? | | Yes | | |
| F THE ANOTHER CREEKING (TO A STATE OF | | | | |
| 5. ENDANGERED SPECIES* (Fish, Wildlife and Plants) | | | | |
| (a) Would the proposed project impact any federally or state-listed or proposed endangered or threatened species (ESA) of flora and fauna, or impact critical habitat? | | | | |
| (Attach record of consultation with federal and state agencies as appropriate) | | 37 | NT. | _ |
| (b) Would the proposed project affect species protected under the Migratory Bird Act | | Yes Yes | | |
| (c) Would the proposed project affect other biotic communities or habitat not ESA protected | | Yes | | |
| | | 108 | NO | - |
| 6. FARMLANDS CONVERSION* | | | | |
| Does the project involve acquisition of farmland, or use of farmland, that would be converted to non- | | | | |
| agricultural use and is protected by the Federal Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)? (If yes, attach record of coordination with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), including form AD-1006.) | | Yes | No | |
| (NRCS), including form AD-1006.) | | | | |
| 7. FLOODPLAINS* | | | | |
| Would the proposed project cause an encroachment or impacts to the natural, ecological or scenic | | *7 | 3.7 | |
| resources to the 100-year base floodplain? (If yes, opportunity for public review is required) | | Yes | No | |
| 0 HARADDONG MAREDDAY OF | | | | |
| 8. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS* Would the propaged project involve and stime 1 and 1 |)et | Yes | No | |
| Would the proposed project involve existing hazardous materials or cause potential | | - | 2.0 | _ |
| \cdot | | | | |

| _« 9. HÍGHLY CONTROVERSIAL ACTION | | | |
|--|------------|--------------|---|
| Is the proposed project likely to be highly controversial on environmental grounds? | Yes | No | |
| 10.HISTORIC, ARCHITECTURAL, ARCHEOLOGICAL OR CULTURAL PROPERTY* Would the proposed project impact any historic or cultural property or resources protected by the National Historic Preservation Act? (Consult with FAA, and contact State and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. Attach record of consultation) | Yes | No | |
| 11. INCONSISTENCY WITH APPLICABLE LAWS Is the proposed project likely to be inconsistent with any federal, state, local, or tribal law relating to the environmental aspects of project? | Yes | No | |
| 12. NOISE * (a) Does the proposal have the potential to increase noise (e.g., would the proposed project increase aircraft operations or surface traffic)? | Yes | No | |
| (b) If "yes," will the proposed project have an impact on noise levels over noise sensitive areas within the DNL 65 dBA noise contour (Attach explanation) | Yes | No | |
| 13. SECTION 4(F)* Does the proposed project have an impact on any publicly owned land from a public park, recreation area, or wildlife or waterfowl refuge of national, state, or local significance, or an historic site of national, state, or local significance? (If yes, contact FAA, contact appropriate agency and attach record of consultation) | Yes | N o | |
| 14. TRAFFIC CONGESTION Would the proposed project cause an alteration in surface traffic patterns, or cause a noticeable increase in surface traffic congestion or decrease Level of Service? | Yes | N o l | |
| 15. US WATERS/WETLANDS* (a) Does the proposed project involve federal or state regulated (Contact USFW or state agency if protected resources are affected) or non-jurisdictional wetlands? (b) If yes, does the project qualify for an Army Corps of Engineers General permit (If yes, attach record of consultation. If no, project is not eligible for CATEX) | Yes Yes | No I | |
| 16. WATER QUALITY* (a) Does the proposed project have the potential to impact water quality, including ground water, surface water bodies, and public water supply system or federal, state or tribal water quality standards? (If yes, contact appropriate agency) (b) Is the project to be located over a designated Sole Source Aquifer (If yes, attach record of consultation with EPA) | Yes Yes | No I | |
| 17. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS* Would the proposed project affect a river segment that is listed in the Wild and Scenic River System or National Rivers Inventory? (If yes, coordinate with the jurisdictional agency and attach record of consultation) | Yes | No I | |
| 18. ENERGY, NATURAL RESOURCES AND SOLID WASTE(a) Would the project have a significant impact on energy or other natural resource consumption?(b) Would the operation and/or construction of the project generate significant amounts of solid | Yes | No I | |
| waste? | Yes | No I | |
| 19. Other Categories (a) Would the proposed project be located near or create a wildlife hazard as defined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5200-33, "Wildlife Hazards on and Near Airports"? (b) Reviewing the above categories, would the project affect: | Yes | No I | • |
| Environmental Justice * Children's Health and Safety * | Yes Yes | No I | |
| | | | |

Project Title/Airport Identifier

| Installation of Pre-Conditioned Air Units on 24 Electrified Jet Bridges along Terminal F / PHL |
|---|
| PREPARER CERTIFICATION |
| certify that the information I have provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, correct. |
| |
| Signature Date |
| Tignitude 1 |
| Mnn a. Keeley 215-399-4338 |
| Print Name Phone |
| accom 1700 Market St., Suite 1700, Phila, Pa 1 |
| Company/Airport Address / |
| Email address to receive notice of FAA decision: <u>lynn.keeley@aecom.com</u> |
| AIRPORT SPONSOR CERTIFICATION |
| certify that the information I have provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, correct. I also recognize and agree that no construction activity, including but not limited to site preparation, demolition, or land disturbance, shall proceed for the above proposed project(s) until FAA issues a final environmental decision for the proposed project(s), and until compliance with all other applicable FAA approval actions (e.g., ALP approval, airspace approval, grant approval) has occurred. |
| Celebra 1/8/09 |
| Signature Date' |
| CAURN H. DAVINGOR, JA 215-957-6062 |
| Print Name Phone |
| Email address to receive notice of FAA decision: <u>calvin.davenger@phl.org</u> |
| If no email available, provide mailing address |
| For FAA Use Only |
| FAA DECISION: Having reviewed the above information, certified by the responsible airport official, it is the FAA's decision that the proposed development project has been found to qualify for a <u>Categorical Exclusion</u> from preparation of a formal environmental assessment. Project Reviewed by: |

ATTACHMENT DESCRIBE IMPACT AND RESULTS OF CONSULTATION IF REQUIRED

An explanation for each "Yes" response in the form is provided below.

1. Air Quality

The proposed project is located in an area designate by the EPA as non-attainment for ozone and PM 2.5, under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) criteria for air pollutants.

The proposed project is included in the Federal Aviation Administration's "Federal Presumed to Conform Actions under General Conformity." In addition, the attached *Application for VALE AIP Discretionary Funds* includes the analysis which documents the emissions reductions that will be realized through the implementation of the proposed project.

2. Coastal Zone

Philadelphia International Airport is located in the Coastal Zone of the Delaware River Estuary. However, the proposed project is an infrastructure project that will not increase impervious surface or introduce additional loads into the existing drainage system.

The project is consistent with the Pennsylvania Coastal Zone Management Program (CZMP), as evidenced in the Program's Policy 9.3 which states "Transportation facilities and improvements which reduce traffic volumes or decrease emission, will be supported and endorsed by the CZMP."

¹ Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Coastal Zone Management Program Guidance Document #394-03001-001, March 1999